

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE CALL-IN REQUEST FORM



To: Assistant Director (<u>HR, Legal and Democratic Services</u>)

I would like to call-in the decision as detailed below:

Decision making body (Cabinet or Portfolio Holder)

Date decision made:

What was the Decision made by Cabinet or Portfolio Holder:

If the reason for the call-in is that the decision was outside the Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules, please give an explanation

What action would you like to see the Cabinet / Portfolio Holder take to address the concerns outlined in the call-in, or what needs to be done to strengthen their decision?

At what stage did you inform the Portfolio Holder or the Leader of the Council that you had concerns over this planned decision? If not a planned decision (that is if the decision did not appear on the Decisions Plan), what attempts did you make to speak to the Portfolio Holder before calling in the decision?

<u>Please state which of the principles for decision making set out in Article 12 of the</u> <u>Constitution has/have been breached (please tick)</u>

		TICK		
1	The decision was not reasonable within the common meaning of the word, ie it was not a rational decision based on sound judgement.			
2	The decision was not reasonable within the legal meaning of "reasonableness", ie all relevant considerations were not fully taken into account in reaching the decision and all irrelevant ones disregarded.			
3	In the case of `quasi-judicial' decisions (ie a decision as to whether or not to grant a licence) a fair hearing was not conducted in accordance with the rules of natural justice to the person who was the subject of the decision.			
4	The decision was not proportionate (ie the action was not proportionate to the desired outcome).			
5	The decision was not taken on the basis of due consultation and professional advice from officers.			
6	Human rights were not respected and consideration was not given as to whether the decision would give rise to any implications under the Human Rights Act 1998 and the European Convention on Human Rights.			
7	The decision was not taken in compliance with Council's schemes of delegation, financial rules and instructions relating to contracts.			
8	When making the decision, a presumption in favour of openness was not applied and a clarity of aims and desired outcomes was not displayed.			
9	Careful consideration was not given as to whether there was an interest that should have been declared;			
10	10 In the case of an executive decision taken by the Cabinet, or an individual Member of the Cabinet, or an Officer (where the decision is closely connected with the Cabinet), a proper record of the decision was not made together with a record of the reasons for the decision, details of any alternative options considered and rejected and any conflicts of interest.			

<u>Referring to the box(es) ticked above, please explain how the principles set out in</u> <u>Article 12 of the Constitution have not been met, and provide documentation or</u> <u>evidence, where appropriate to support the call-in:</u>

Suggested Witnesses (Internal/External) to be invited and their relevance to the callin

<u>Members calling in the decision</u> (Call-in to be requested by any five members of the Council)

	Name of Councillor	Signed	Will you be attending the call- in meeting?
1	(Lead on Call-in)		
2			
3			
4			
5			

Once completed, either by hand or electronically, please send to the Assistant Director (HR, Legal and Democratic Services) **BY 5PM ON THE DEADLINE DATE PUBLISHED ON THE CABINET DECISION NOTICE**, otherwise the call-in will not be valid.